

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/527,461	Applicant(s) GILDAY ET AL.	
	Examiner REBECCA L. ANDERSON	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:

(1) REBECCA L. ANDERSON.

(2) Donald J. Bird.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: pending

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 1:20pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 112 1st, new matter

Claims discussed:
51 and 52

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative and the Examiner discussed a possible examiner's amendment to the claims to overcome the 35 USC 112 1st paragraph new matter rejection by deleting "multi-step batch" from the claims 51 and 52 and inserting the supported language (see page 4 of the specification) of "one-pot" which indicates that the compounds of formula IV and V are not isolated as such but are each prepared and used as a solution in an organic solvent as a "one-pot" process. Applicants' representative requested the non-final action in order to give the applicants' a chance to consider the new matter rejection and proposed amendment

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rebecca L Anderson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

